Survey - April 1999

The following is the portion of the survey pertaining to Altered Height Vehicles:

Responding Jurisdictions (20):

Alaska	Montana
Arizona	New York
California	North Dakota
Connecticut	Oklahoma
Florida	Oregon
Indiana	Texas
Kansas	Utah
Louisiana	Vermont
Minnesota	Washington
Missouri	Wyoming

4. Does your state have a law addressing altered suspension systems (low-riders or ultra-height vehicles)?

Comments:

Alaska	Yes
Arizona	Yes, low riders may not raise/lower at more than 15 mph. Raised pick up trucks need splash guards and headlights can't be over 54" from road. Other body types are not restricted except for headlights.
California	We limit both maximum vehicle height, based on manufacturer's GVWR (Section 24008.5 VC) and minimum vehicle height (Section 24008 VC).
Connecticut	Our laws do address altered suspension systems.
Florida	Yes, state statute 316.251 - Maximum Bumper Heights Allowable.
Indiana	Yes, pickup trucks cannot have bumpers higher than 30 inches. Passenger vehicles cannot be modified more than 3 inches from manufactured height.
Kansas	We do not have a law that specifically addresses altered suspensions. We do, however, have statutes that establish height limitations on lighting equipment that would make some of these vehicles that you reference illegal to operate on the highways/streets.
Louisiana	The LA State Regulatory Act (L.R.S. 32:297) sates, "It shall be lawful to operate 'low rider' vehicles on the streets and highways in this state, if the vehicle meets the following requirements: (1) the vehicle complies with the minimum and maximum requirements for height of headlamps.

	This means that the height measured from the center of the headlamp is not more than 54" nor less than 24 ".
	(2) The vehicle has operational shock absorbers and springs and has at least 3" of suspension travel.
	(3) The vehicle has at least 4" of ground clearance measured from the frame with the vehicle on a level surface.
	(4) The vehicle complies with the general requirements for motor vehicles.
Minnesota	Ultra-height vehicles are controlled through our bumper height law which defines "suspension system" to "include both the front and rear wheels and tires of a vehicle." The law prohibits a "suspension system or body so modified that the height of the vehicle or any bumpers varies more than six inches from the original manufactured height for the vehicle." The law goes further tot establish maximum bumper height (measured to the bottom of the bumper) of 20 inches for a passenger vehicle and 25 inches for an SUV, van or pickup truck.
Missouri	MO has a bumper height law: Regular vehicle – 22" front and rear Commercial vehicle up to 4500# – 24" front 26" rear
	Commercial vehicle 4501-7500# – 27" front 29" rear Commercial vehicle 7501-9000# – 28" front 30" rear
Montana	Yes, dealing with the height of mounting headlights and taillights.
New York	No, but we do address bumper heights. (text below) (b) No person shall operate a passenger car registered in NY unless it is equipped with both a front and rear bumper, each securely fastened and with some part of the bumper located between sixteen inches and twenty inches above the grounded. This provision shall not apply to a vehicle registered as an historical vehicle.
North Dakota	Yes, they need front and rear bumpers. Bumper height has a max of 27", on a pickup the bed has a max height of 42" (1) 4" lift kit is allowed on vehicles.
Oklahoma	Yes, regulating the height of its headlights.
Oregon	Yes, state law requires that wheel covers be in place to cover the entire width of the tire down to within 20 inches of the ground on trucks. Also, there are statutes governing the mounting height for headlamps. I think they must be within 50" of the ground and properly aimed. As for low riders, hydraulics are OK, however, there is a statute that requires all motor vehicles to be a minimum height off the ground. The law specifies that if the vehicle was sitting on flat pavement with no tires mounted on the wheels, no part of the underside of the car can touch the ground (other than the four bare wheels).
Texas	[faxed statutes to Mike Farnsworth]
Utah	Yes, vehicles over 100 inch wheelbase may have 4" mechanical lift (maximum 2" body lift), and 4" increase in height with oversized tires. Vehicles under 100 inch wheelbase may have a mechanical lift of 2" and increase height 2" with oversized tires. Lowered vehicles may not have any part of the vehicle lower than 1" above the lowest part of any wheel. Vehicles with hydraulic suspensions are not permitted on highways and can not be legally registered. We have been unable to find a hydraulic suspension system that meets the minimum federal motor vehicle safety standards.
Vermont	VT requires annual safety inpsections – bumper heights, suspension heights; relative = to wheel height

Washington	No. We still use height of headlamps from the ground for highrise vehicles and a law that states that no part of a vehicle can be below the lowest part of a wheel rim. With the low rise tires this doesn't help much.
Wyoming	No

5. If they are allowed in your state, do you have any data concerning their crash involvement or other enforcement/safety concerns? Comments:

Alaska	To my knowledge, we do not have statistics as they relate to crash involvement. We relied on IACP statistics and policy when we adopted a regulation setting limitations of height and alteration of a vehicle.
	Alaska's regulation for alteration of equipment reads: 13AAC 04.005 (b)
	No person may operate a motor vehicle upon a public roadway which violates the following limitations or
	prohibitions: (1) a motor vehicle may not be modified or altered from the original design so that any portion of the
	vehicle, other than the wheels, has clearance from the surface of a level roadway than the clearance between the
	roadway and the lower portion of any rim of any wheel in contact with the roadway; (2) a motor vehicle may not
	be modified to position the lowest portion of the body floor more than 3" above the top of the frame, or to result in
	a maximum frame height or body floor height greater than 24" for a vehicle of up to 4,500 pounds GVWR, or 26"
Arizono	for 4,501-7,000 pounds, or 28" for 7501-10,000 pounds GVWR. No crash data available.
Arizona	
California	I am not aware of any particular safety problems with vehicles that adhere to VC height limitations.
Connecticut	No data to support crash involvement.
Florida	Enforcement data (statewide): 1995 – 627 UTC's issued; 1996 – 693 UTC's issued; 1997 – 659 UTC's issued.
Indiana	No data.
Kansas	No data available.
Louisiana	We do not have any data concerning their crash involvement or other enforcement/safety concerns pertaining to these types of vehicles.
Minnesota	
Missouri	No crash data readily available - contact Capt. S.R. Johnson, (573) 751-3012
Montana	Not aware of any problems
New York	None that I am aware of. The NYS DMV has just added "SUV" to the accident reporting system, but no data is yet
	available.
North Dakota	No data.
Oklahoma	Crash data is not separated by type of vehicle.
Oregon	No data.
Utah	Unfortunately, we do [not] have any data.
Texas	No data captured on low-rider or golf carts.
Vermont	Not frequent enough to present a significant problem. The off-roaders are a problem for property
	trespass/damage to private and public roads. Again, it may be a local problem from time to time, but not significant enough to raise major concern statewide.
	•

Washington	We do not have accident statistics on these vehicles, in fact we have no accident statistics on any kind for the past
	two years as we are in a conversion from a manual system to an automated reader system for accident reports.
Wyoming	For 1997, the latest year for which statistics are available, we had three crashes which reported "altered
	suspensions" as a factor in the crash out of a total of 16,635 crashes.

6. Please provide contact information for any follow-up/clarification.

Alaska	Juanita Hensley
	Juanita_hensley@admin.state.ak.us
Arizona	J.W. (Pete) Peterson, Manager
	Research and Planning
	Arizona Department of Public Safety
	Tel: (602) 223-2632
California	Jack Schwendener
	Tel: (916) 445-1865
	Jschwendener@chp.ca.gov
Connecticut	Lt. Ben Pagoni
	Pagoni8@aol.com
Florida	John Knox
	Florida Highway Patrol
	Office of Program Planning
	Tel: (850) 488-7414
	Knox.john@hsmv.state.fl.us
Indiana	Sgt. Jerry Berkey
	Indiana State Police
	Jberkey@isp.state.in.us
Kansas	Sgt. Mark Bruce
	mbruce@khphq.wpo.state.ks.us
Louisiana	Trooper Jason Jacob
	Louisiana State Police
	Operational Development Research Section
	Tel: (225) 922-0836
	Jjacob@dps.state.la.us
Minnesota	Capt. Brian Erickson
	Minnesota State Patrol
	444 Cedar Street, Suite 130
	St. Paul, MN 55101-5130
	Tel: (651) 296-6579
	FAX: (651) 296-5937
	Brian.erickson@state.mn.us
Missouri	Ernie Raub
	Eraub01@mail.state.mo.us

Montana	Sgt. Craig Palmer
	Montana Highway Patrol
	Cpalmer@mt.gov
New York	Sgt. Jim Halvorsen
	NYSP Traffic Services
	Tel: (518) 457-6885
	Jhalvors@troopers.state.ny.us
North Dakota	Gordon La France
	Tel: (701) 328-4252
	Glafranc@state.nd.us
Oklahoma	Jelliott@dps.state.ok.us
Oregon	Sgt. Al Hageman
	Patrol Services Division
	Tel: (503) 378-3725 ext. 4210
Texas	David Shafford
	David.shafford@txdps.state.tx.us
Utah	Sgt. Dennis Platt
	Utah Highway Patrol
	Safety Inspection Section Supervisor
	4501 South 2700 West
	Salt Lake City, UT 84114
	Tel: (801) 965-4547
	FAX: (801) 965-4495
	Psmain.dplatt@state.ut.us
Vermont	Capt. Marc Metayer
	Vermont State Police
	Tel: (802) 229-9191
	Mmetayer@dps.state.vt.us
Washington	Dan Pemerl
	Dpemerl@msmail.wsp.wa.gov
Wyoming	Lt. Dan Zivkovich
	Tel: (307) 777-4310
	FAX: (307) 777-4282